

# **Standards Alignment Advisory Committee**

July 29, 2016



## **Agenda**

- Welcome Heather Moss
- WAC Drafts Community Feedback Report Holly Wyrwich
- WAC drafts, PD provisions Angela Abrams
- Differences in Age Definitions Debbie O'Neil
- WAC update, SBEIS Luba Bezborodnikova
- Early Achievers and ECEAP standards writing update Nicole Rose
- Summary and Next Steps Heather Moss

- Between April 12 and May 25, there were 23
  meetings throughout the state held in four languages
  (English, Russian, Somali and Spanish)
- Coalitions were the hosts and facilitators, working with community partners to promote the meetings and recruit attendees
- Racial equity experts were invited to facilitate in some cases

- Notes from all meetings (plus a report submitted by one coalition) were transcribed, categorized and coded – standard by standard, and meeting by meeting
- All comments were incorporated verbatim or in essence in the draft report, which offers:
  - A summary of feedback
  - Changes to proposed standards
  - Suggestions for implementation priorities
  - Implications across systems
- Coalitions were given an opportunity to review the draft report, in structure and in content

#### Key themes

- Proposed standards demonstrate good intentions and the potential for high-quality access for all, but providers will require support (funding, technical assistance and materials) to comply
- In particular, providers of color and family child care providers will have less access to the supports that will allow them to comply with the proposed standards
- Translation, translation
- In the implementation of the standards, there must be leeway for providers to honor families' cultures and languages – and accommodate the challenges of low-income, over-worked and disenfranchised families
- There are systemic challenges with community supports in health, K-12, higher education and social services that could hamper families' success despite the best efforts of child care providers or the best intentions of these standards

#### Hot spots

- Cost and time for providers to achieve higher educational standards, and accessibility of higher education
- Perception that more value is placed on educational background than experience in the field
- Recording data about providers or families
- Requiring space and time for communication and collaboration with families
- Finding common ground and setting mutual expectations with families who do not share culture or language with the provider
- Perceived "haves and have-nots" divide between providers in Early
   Achievers and those who are not

#### **WAC drafts, PD Provisions**

#### <u>Training and Education Matrix – by Position</u>

- Roles for Family Home and Center Early Learning Providers
- Education standards
- Pre-Service Requirements
- In-Service Requirements

#### **WAC drafts, PD Provisions**

- Implementation is key
- Considerations for experienced providers
- Streamlining requirements when possible, building to a defined career pathway
- Emphasis on system development and supports to retain and attract culturally and linguistically diverse providers

## **WAC drafts, PD Provisions**

	Education	Pre-Service	In-Service
CONSIDERATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION			
•	What else counts – what if I have other education?  Cross walking other options to best capture equivalent credentials.	I have extensive background and the knowledge needed, I don't feel I need to take this pre-service requirement.      Beginning stages of drafting a	I am pursuing my degree and enrolled in college, do I need to also take 10 hours of in-service each year?      Stronger awareness and recognition
•	Three years from implementation date (2021) doesn't seem like	competency based PD policy.	that higher education credits do count as in-service.
	enough time for retaining our providers, how will this work?  Implementation planning, outreach efforts, credit for prior learning policy, building community capacity.	My program already offers this content in the training they provide, do I need this pre-service training?      Developing a cross-walk between Core Competencies, Pre-Service      Training, Initial Certificate, ECEAP	I am not learning in these classes,     what are my options?     Build capacity with higher education partners to offer community based training that meets the needs of
•	I am an experienced provider, I don't want to continue my education, what options do I have?	Standards/Training, Head Start Standards/Training.	professionals with degrees.
	Experienced education waiver policy, consideration for licensing compliance and PD completed during years licensed.	<ul> <li>I'm hiring new staff and it is impossible to fill my staffing needs with these expectations, what would I do?</li> <li>Revisiting the qualifications per role,</li> </ul>	
•	I am a refugee or immigrant to this country and do not have means to get my diploma, what can I do?  Additional timeline waiver upon demonstrating commitment to meeting the 2021 WAC education	especially for volunteers and aides. Streamlining options for recognized completion of pre-service requirements (as noted above)	
	standards.		10

#### **WAC Draft, Differences in Age Definitions**

- Comparison made between current WAC, new Aligned Standards, Early Learning Guidelines, CFOC 3<sup>rd</sup> edition and Subsidy Rates per age (handout attached)
- New Aligned Standards redefines "infant" as birth through 11 months-this is a change for centers (170-295 1 month through 11 months)
- Discrepancies across several programs with the age brackets including how subsidy payments are made Example: Toddler subsidy rates for centers is 12-29 months vs. for family homes is 18-29 months but ELG defines toddler 16-36 months

#### **WAC Draft, Differences in Age Definitions**

- ECEAP preschool age to be considered (36 to 48 mths)
- Input requested on the age differences
- Resolution ideas for the multiple sources utilized by providers, stakeholders, licensing, EA, ECEAP and WCCC
- Action plan developed with your input regarding age clarity across all programs

## WAC update Small Business Economic Impact Statement (SBEIS)

- SBEIS under chapter 19.85 RCW must be prepared for a proposed rule that would impose more than minor costs on small business required to comply.
- "Small business" means any business (sole prop, partnership, corporation or other form) that as fewer than 50 employees, and is independently operated.
- "Minor cost" means the cost per business that is less than:
  - 3/10 of one percent of annual revenue or income, or \$100, which ever is greater
  - 1/10 of one percent of annual payroll

## WAC update Small Business Economic Impact Statement (SBEIS)

- RCW 34.05.310(4) (referenced in RCW 19.85.025) is defining categorical exemptions from preparing a SBEIS
- Exemptions include: emergency rules; internal agency operating rules, rules that adopt language or by reference state or federal laws, other state agency rules, or national consensus codes that establish industry standards; housekeeping rules; rule content that is explicitly required by law; rules that set fees by legislative standard; or procedural rules for agency hearings, or for applying for a license or permit.
- If no SBEIS is prepared, but DEL believes there may be (real or perceived) some compliance costs of the rule that would not trigger a full analysis, staff should consider preparing a pre-analysis of costs and impacts for the rule making file.

## Early Achievers and ECEAP standards writing update

Early Achievers, ECEAP and licensing are partnering and coordinating:

- timeline development;
- identification of roles and responsibilities;
- creation of resource documents;
- draft writings of progressions; and
- identifying stakeholder populations that will be targeted for the input and review process.

## **Proposed Timeline Early Achievers and ECEAP Progression Writing**

#### July

Coordination of efforts within DEL

Writing of **EA & ECEAP** drafts begins

#### Aug

Writing of EA & ECEAP drafts continues

First sections of ECEAP revisions out for review

#### Sept

Writing of **ECEAP** drafts continues

Additional **FCFAP** sections out for review

Early Achievers drafts out for review

#### Oct

Writing of **ECEAP** drafts is completed

Additional **FCFAP** sections out for review

Early **Achievers** drafts out for review.

#### Nov

Final sections of ECEAP drafts out for review

Create community /stakeholder feedback report.

#### Dec

**Finalize** revisions and progressions of Early **Achievers** and ECEAP Standards

# Questions & Suggestions Meeting Summary & Next Steps